



GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

(Department of Public Instruction)

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER, NEW PUBLIC OFFICES, N.T.ROAD, BANGALORE-1

Phone : 080 22214350/22246975

FAX : 080 22212137 / 080 22133472

No.: PL-10/Free.Bicy.Scheme.Evaluation-02/2011-12

Date : 09-12-2011

IN CONTINUATION OF PRE-BID MEETING OF THE TENDER CALLED FOR SCHEME EVALUATION OF SUPPLY OF BICYCLES UNDER CHAIRMANSHIP OF DIRECTOR (PRIMARY) HELD ON 07-12-2011 FOLLOWING CLARIFICATION IS ISSUED FOR THE QUERIES AND SUGGESTIONS SOUGHT BY VARIOUS FIRMS.

✓ **Joint Venture :**

Representatives of STEM & Vidyaranya Education & Development Society referring Page 4, clause(a) & (b) sought clarification as to are NGO's will be considered as Organisations & are they eligible to participate and if organization is not having any experience can they take Joint Venture or sub-Contract with other organization.

Decision:

It is clarified that Organisations who fulfills the qualification prescribed in the tender document are eligible to participate in the tender and Joint Venture / Sub-Contract is not acceptable.

✓ **Selection of Consultancy :**

Representative from M/s. STEM & Vishwachetan Samste, Bijapur requested that instead of having one consultant as the implementing agency of this evaluation assignment, if it could be region wise/district wise, it would be an effective evaluation thereby reducing the contract value.

Decision:

It is clarified that evaluation is to be done statewide.

✓ **No of copies of final report :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India Pvt. Ltd., sought clarification about no. of copies of final report to be submitted.

Decision:

It is clarified that 25 sets of hard copy and 02 sets of soft copy of final report has to be submitted.

✓ **Turnover criterion :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India Pvt. Ltd., referring to clause (b) of page No.4 asked if there is also a minimum annual turnover

criterion for the firms/consultants as this can also ensure the bidding firms having the adequate capacities for carrying out the assignment.

Decision:

The consultant/firm should have a minimum overall average annual turnover of Rs.50 Lakhs in last 3 years (auditors certificate and balance sheet should be enclosed)

✓ **Evaluation :**

Representatives of M/s.Nielsen and M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to clause (10) (iv) of page No.6 requested to elaborate on the specific components that will be evaluated under "Suitability of the transfer of knowledge program".

Decision:

The clause (10) (iv) is deleted and the points of clause (10) (i) & (ii) is increased by 5 points each.

✓ **Deciding Award of Contract :**

Representative of M/s. Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page 6, clauses 11(a) to (d) sought clarification that the Request for Proposal does not specify relative weightages of Technical and Financial scores in the final evaluation (i.e.the formula for obtaining the composite score). They requested to specify the technical and financial weightages in the evaluation. And they also requested to declare the technical scores to all the bidders formally prior to opening of the financial proposals.

Decision:

It is clarified that the Technical proposal's scoring at least 75 points will be considered for opening of Financial proposal. Evaluation of Technical proposals will be done using the criteria mentioned in clause (10) (i) to (iv) in page 6. The lowest quote in the Financial proposal will be considered. It is also clarified that the technical scores will be uploaded in the official web site before opening of Financial Proposal.

✓ **Objectives of Evaluation :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., sought clarification regarding Assessment of parameters like enrolment, attendance, dropout and learning achievement etc., can be done through secondary analysis and will require baseline data from the previous years (preferable five previous years). Whether baseline data is available with the department on these parameters. If not, please specify the secondary sources that may be used for obtaining this data for carrying out this impact assessment (Eg. DISE).

Decision:

It is clarified that the data at the school level will be made available.

✓ **Suggested Study Design :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-13, requested to confirm that the sample of 5% of the schools coverage of the survey will also include the aided schools, Social welfare and Local Body Schools.

Decision:

It is clarified that, since the bicycles are being distributed to the students studying in 8th standard of Government and Aided Schools only, the survey should include Government and Aided Schools but not Social welfare and Local Body Schools.

✓ **Sample Size :**

Representative JSS Consultants requested to give 125 children for sampling in each block instead of 3 to 4 childrens per school.

Representative of M/s. Nielsen sought to clarify and confirm No. of Schools and No. of students to be covered.

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to page-13, year of study 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 said that students of 2008-09 would have passed out from high school and suggested to cover three years 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12 the beneficiaries of these 3 years would be 16.09 lakhs and sought to confirm the sample size. 5% of bicycles distributed in 2009-10 will workout to 26620 children is much above standard sample sizes used in similar studies it will not be feasible to complete the field survey for such a large sample under the short timeline and within reasonable budget.

They suggested an alternate sampling size may be adopted which is used in large scale surveys such as NSSO, a statistically significant sample based on a confidence level of 95% and confidence interval of $\pm 2\%$ may be adopted. They requested to specify the suitable sample size keeping in mind the limited timeframe of the study.

Decision:

It is clarified that the study limited only for the year 2009-10

2009-10 : 5% of covered schools is 587 schools (i.e., GHPS-4305 + GHS-4168 + AHS-3275 = Total - 11748 5% of which is 587)

5% of Girls-266229 = 13308 & 5% of Boys-266163 = 13311 (students of GHPS may not be in same schools there fore only High Schools students to be interviewed 5% of Highs schools will be 372 schools)

No of Boys to be covered per school : $13308/372 = 36$ and

No of Girls to be covered per school : $13311/372 = 36$

✓ **Differentials & Proportion :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-13 requested to specify whether the stratification along Region be (a) North East Karnataka/other than North East Karnataka (b) Northern Maidan, Southern Maidan, Hilly Areas, Coastal Region or (c) Four Revenue Divisions - Belguam,

Gulbarga, Bangalore & Mysore. And also to specify whether the survey sample of schools should cover all Education districts of the state. If yes, can it be restricted to 1 or 2 blocks per district due to shortage of time.

Decision:

It is clarified that, the survey should cover all the education districts and at least two to three taluks in each district to be covered, the selection of taluks is at discretion of the consultant, however the consultant should ensure that all the backward taluks of Dr. Nanjundappa Committee report should be covered.

✓ **Secondary Analysis of Transition rate in sample schools:**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-14 Overall Average Attendance in School requested to confirm that the “average attendance” for the year available as a calculated field in the school records and no tabulation/calculation will be required during the survey to arrive at that figure.

Decision:

It is clarified Information can be obtained from the school records through Heads of Institutions with his seal & signature. Instructions will be issued accordingly.

✓ **Time Frame / Sourcing Out :**

Representative of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring in Page-14 requested that since the field survey to be under taken spans across all the districts of the state with a large sample size a minimum 2 months is required for completing the survey with desired quality levels, 1 month for analysis and preparation of draft report and 1 month for submission of the final report totally 4 months timeline has to be given. And also sought clarification that whether timeline of 60 days is for completion of field survey or for the draft/final report.

Representative of JSS Consultants requested to increase time frame from 60 days to 90 days.

Representatives of Vishwa Chethana Samithi & STEM said that as the volume of the assignment is large it is difficult to complete work in 60 days time.

Decision:

The field study should be completed within 45 days from the date of signing MOU. The Draft report should be submitted within 30 days from the date of completion of field study. The final report to be submitted within 15 days from the date of submission of draft report. Totally the study must be completed within 90 (Ninety) days from the date of signing the MOU by the agency.

✓ **Submission of Bank Guarantee against release of amount :**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-15 under Role of Department requested that since the successful bidder has to furnish a

performance security in form of Bank guarantee equal to 5% of the contract value at the time of signing of the contract which will be released only on completion of assignment the bank guarantee against each payment be waived.

Representative of JSS Consultants sought clarification that they are giving Rs.1,00,000/- as EMD whether it is required to pay Bank Guarantee against release of amounts by the department.

Decision:

The Performance security in the form of Bank Guarantee is furnished only for 5% of the agreed amount which is very less than the releasing amount. The EMD will be returned to the successful consultant immediately after signing contract and submitting Performance security in the form of Bank Guarantee. Hence this condition is not modified.

✓ **Mode of Payment :**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., Nielsen & STEM referring to Page-15 requested that since the project requires extensive field study and most of the expenses will be incurred during the field survey which will need to be incurred by the selected firm. Therefore they requested that a mobilization advance be provided to the selected firm on signing of contract.

Decision:

The mode of payment would be:

- ❖ 30% of the total agreed amount will be paid as mobilization amount on signing of contract against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount valid for 120 days and this amount would be adjusted as payment towards field study reports.
- ❖ 30% of the total agreed amount - on completion of field study and submission of draft report against Bank Guarantee to the equal amount.
- ❖ 40% of the total agreed amount - on submission of final report.

✓ **General Conditions of Contract :**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-17 requested to include the clause in GCC that limits the liability of the consultant, which should be as "Notwithstanding anything contained in this proposal or the request for proposal (reference no & date) issued by (name of department) (the Client) towards (name of the assignment), the Client agrees that (name of consultant) (the Consultant) shall not be liable to the client, for any loss, claims, liabilities, damages, cost or expenses (Losses) of any nature whatsoever, in any way relating to or arising out of this Proposal/contract awarded pursuant to the Contract for an aggregate amount in excess of the fee actually paid for the services provided under this Proposal/Contract, except where such Losses are finally judicially determined to have arisen primarily from fraud or bad faith of the Consultant. In no event shall Contractor/Bidder, be liable for any

consequential (including loss of profit and loss of data) special, indirect, incidental, punitive or exemplary loss, damage, or expense relating to or arising out of this proposal/RFP/Contract”.

Decision:

Conditions in Tender Document is clear and holds good.

✓ **Schedule of Price Bid:**

Representatives of M/s.Deloitte TTIP Ltd., referring to Page-38 Form F-6 sought modification as follow: As there is no design and conduct of management development programs involved in this study

- (a) Total Remuneration
- (b) Total Out of Pocket Expenses
- (c) Service Tax @ 10.3%

And also requested to confirm the cost estimates of services as provided in page 39 is the breakdown of the summary provided in page 38. More specifically, please confirm that the summary in page 38 includes the Out of pocket expenses also.

Decision:

It is modified as follows:

Sl. No	Item	Amount in Rs. (in words and figures)
1	Total amount for the Consultancy services for evaluation of the scheme of supply of free bicycles	
2	Service Tax	
3	Total	

Sd/-
(A.DEVAPRAKASH)
Director (Primary Education).